



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,749	02/25/2005	Kenji Kouchi	T3736-9374US01	2075
62574	7590	06/05/2008		
Jason H. Vick Sheridan Ross, PC Suite # 1200 1560 Broadway Denver, CO 80202			EXAMINER SKOWRONEK, KARL HEINZ R	
			ART UNIT 1631	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 06/05/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jvick@sheridanross.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/525,749

Applicant(s)

KOUCHI ET AL.

Examiner

KARLHEINZ R.
SKOWRONEK

Art Unit

1631

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK.

(3) _____.

(2) Jason Vicks.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 16 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: JP787, Ascher et al., Van Weele et al., and Newlan et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the proposed amendments to the claims. The amendments to the claims appear to overcome the rejections of record; however, the new limitations will require further search and consideration. We also discussed the rejection of claim 16 under 35 USC 101. It is the examiner's position that the claim is not statutory because it is directed to non-functional descriptive material. With respect to the rejection of claim 16, no agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Karlheinz R. Skowronek/
Examiner
AU 1631

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required